

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE

QOTD FILM INVESTMENT LTD.,  
  
Plaintiff,  
  
v.  
  
DOES 1-14,  
  
Defendants.

Civil Action No. 16-cv-0371  
  
COMPLAINT FOR COPYRIGHT  
INFRINGEMENT  
  
JURY TRIAL REQUESTED

Plaintiff alleges the following causes of action against Defendants:

**I. INTRODUCTION**

1. Plaintiff QOTD Film Investment Ltd. is a developer and producer of the motion picture *Queen of the Desert* (“motion picture”). Plaintiff brings this action in an effort to stop Defendants and others from copying and distributing to others unauthorized copies of the Plaintiff’s copyrighted motion picture through the BitTorrent file sharing protocol. Defendants’ infringements allow them and others to unlawfully obtain and distribute unauthorized copyrighted works that the Plaintiff expended significant resources to create. Each time a Defendant unlawfully distributes an unauthorized copy of the Plaintiff’s copyrighted motion picture to others over the Internet, each person who copies that motion picture can then distribute that unlawful copy to others without any significant degradation in sound and picture quality. Thus, a Defendant’s

1 distribution of even a part of an unlawful copy of a motion picture can further the nearly  
2 instantaneous worldwide distribution of that single copy to an unlimited number of people. Further,  
3 Defendants acts of distributing Plaintiff’s motion picture support, maintain and further a for-profit  
4 exploitation of the works of Plaintiff and others. The Plaintiff now seeks redress for this rampant  
5 infringement of its exclusive rights.

6 **II. JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

7 2. This is a civil action seeking damages and injunctive relief for copyright  
8 infringement under the copyright laws of the United States (17 U.S.C. § 101 et seq.).

9 3. This Court has jurisdiction under 17 U.S.C. § 101 et seq.; 28 U.S.C. § 1331 (federal  
10 question); and 28 U.S.C. § 1338(a) (copyright).

11 4. Venue in this District is proper under 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b) and/or  
12 28 U.S.C. §1400(a). Although the true identity of each Defendant is unknown to Plaintiff at this  
13 time, on information and belief each Defendant may be found in this District and/or a substantial  
14 part of the acts of infringement complained of herein occurred in this District. On information and  
15 belief, personal jurisdiction in this District is proper because each Defendant, without consent or  
16 permission of Plaintiff as exclusive rights owner, distributed and offered to distribute over the  
17 Internet copyrighted works for which Plaintiff has exclusive rights.

18 **III. PARTIES**

19 **A. PLAINTIFF QOTD FILM INVESTMENT LTD. AND ITS COPYRIGHT**

20 5. Plaintiff is engaged in the production of the motion picture known as and entitled  
21 “*Queen of the Desert*” for theatrical exhibition, home entertainment and other forms of  
22 distribution.

23 6. Plaintiff is the owner of the exclusive rights under copyright in the United States in  
24 *Queen of the Desert*. *Queen of the Desert* been registered with the United States Copyright Office  
25 by the author, QOTD Film Investment Ltd., effective March 13, 2015, and assigned Registration  
26 No. PAu 3-766-976. (Exhibit A)

1           7. Under the Copyright Act, Plaintiff is the proprietor of all right, title, and interest in  
2 *Queen of the Desert*, including the exclusive rights to reproduce and distribute to the public as well  
3 as the right to sue for past infringement.

4           8. *Queen of the Desert* contains wholly original material that is copyrightable subject  
5 matter under the laws of the United States. It is easily discernible as a professional work as it was  
6 created using professional performers, directors, cinematographers, lighting technicians, set  
7 designers and editors and with professional-grade cameras, lighting and editing equipment. It has  
8 significant value and has been created, produced and lawfully distributed at considerable expense.  
9 *Queen of the Desert* is currently offered for sale in commerce, playing in theaters and available for  
10 rental and/or purchase from Amazon, iTunes and Netflix, among others.

11           9. Defendants have notice of Plaintiff's rights through general publication and  
12 advertising and more specifically as identified in the content of the motion picture, advertising  
13 associated with the motion picture, and all packaging and copies, each of which bore a proper  
14 copyright notice.

15 **B. DEFENDANTS**

16           10. Upon information and belief, each Defendant copied and distributed Plaintiff's  
17 copyrighted motion picture *Queen of the Desert*. The true names of Defendants are unknown to  
18 Plaintiff at this time. Each Defendant is known to Plaintiff only by the Internet Protocol ("IP")  
19 address assigned by an Internet Service Provider ("ISP") and the date and at the time at which the  
20 infringing activity of each Defendant was observed, as explained in detail below. Through  
21 geolocation, the IP address used by each Defendant has been traced to the Western District of  
22 Washington. Plaintiff believes that information obtained in discovery will lead to the identification  
23 of each Defendant's true name and location and permit Plaintiff to amend the complaint to state  
24 the same.

25           11. In addition, each IP address has also been observed and associated with significant  
26 infringing activity and associated with the exchange of other titles on peer-to-peer networks. The

1 volume, titles and persistent observed activity associated with each Defendant’s IP address  
2 indicates that each Defendant is not a transitory or occasional guest, but is either the primary  
3 subscriber of the IP address or someone who resides with the subscriber and/or is an authorized  
4 user of the IP address. The volume of the activity associated with each Defendant’s IP address  
5 further indicates that anyone using or observing activity on the IP address would likely be aware  
6 of the conduct of Defendant. Also, the volume and titles of the activity associated with each  
7 Defendant’s IP address indicates that each Defendant is not a child, but an adult, often with mature  
8 distinct tastes.

9 **IV. PEER-TO-PEER NETWORKS AND THE BITTORRENT PROTOCOL**

10 12. Defendants are each a participant in a peer-to-peer (“P2P”) network using the  
11 BitTorrent protocol. The BitTorrent protocol makes even small computers with low bandwidth  
12 capable of participating in large data transfers across a P2P network. To begin an exchange, the  
13 initial file-provider intentionally elects to share a file with a torrent network. This initial file is  
14 called a seed. Other users (“peers”) connect to the network and connect to the seed file to  
15 download. As yet additional peers request the same file each additional user becomes a part of the  
16 network from where the file can be downloaded. However, unlike a traditional peer-to-peer  
17 network, each new file downloader is receiving a different piece of the data from users who have  
18 already downloaded the file that together comprises the whole. This piecemeal system with  
19 multiple pieces of data coming from peer members is usually referred to as a “swarm.” The effect  
20 of this technology makes every downloader also an uploader of the illegally transferred file(s).  
21 This means that every “node” or peer user who has a copy of the infringing copyrighted material  
22 on a torrent network can also be a source of download, and thus distributor for that infringing file.

23 13. This distributed nature of BitTorrent leads to a rapid viral spreading of a file  
24 throughout peer users. As more peers join the swarm, the likelihood of a successful download  
25 increases. Essentially, because of the nature of the swarm downloads as described above, every  
26 infringer is sharing copyrighted material with other infringers.

1           14. Defendants actions are part of a common design, intention and purpose to hide  
2 behind the apparent anonymity provided by the Internet and the BitTorrent technology to  
3 download pieces of the copyrighted motion picture in a manner that, but for the investigative  
4 technology used by Plaintiff, would be untraceable, leaving the Plaintiff without the ability to  
5 enforce its copyright rights. By participating in the “swarm” to download Plaintiff’s copyright  
6 motion picture, the Defendants agreed with one another to use the Internet and BitTorrent  
7 technology to engage in violation of federal statute to accomplish and unlawful objective.

8           **V. COMPUTER FORENSIC IDENTIFICATION OF BITTORRENT INFRINGEMENT**

9           15. Plaintiff has identified each Defendant by the IP address assigned by the ISP used  
10 by each Defendant and the date and at the time at which the infringing activity of each Defendant  
11 was observed. This is accomplished using forensic software to collect, identify and record the IP  
12 addresses in use by those people that employ the BitTorrent protocol to share, copy, reproduce and  
13 distribute copyrighted works.

14           16. More specifically, forensic software is used to scan peer-to-peer networks for the  
15 presence of infringing transactions with respect to a particular audiovisual work. Any digital copy  
16 of an audiovisual work may be uniquely identified by a unique, coded, string of characters called  
17 a “hash checksum.” The hash checksum is a string of alphanumeric characters generated by a  
18 mathematical algorithm known as US Secure Hash Algorithm 1 or “SHA-1.” This software  
19 facilitates the identification of computers that are used to transmit a copy or a part of a copy of a  
20 digital media file identified by a particular hash value by their IP address at a particular date and  
21 time. To overcome concerns with spoofing or the like, a direct TCP connection is made to each  
22 defendant’s computer. Additional software using geolocation functionality is then used to confirm  
23 the geographical location of the computer used in the infringement. Though an IP address alone  
24 does not reveal the name or contact information of the account holder, in this case the Doe  
25 Defendant, it does reveal the likely general location of the Defendant. IP addresses are distributed  
26 to ISPs by public, nonprofit organizations called Regional Internet Registries. These registries

1  
2  
3  
4 assign blocks of IP addresses to ISPs by geographic region. In the United States, these blocks are  
5 assigned and tracked by the American Registry of Internet Numbers. Master tables correlating the  
6 IP addresses with local regions are maintained by these organizations in a publicly available and  
7 searchable format. An IP address' geographic location can be further narrowed by cross-  
8 referencing this information with secondary sources such as data contributed to commercial  
9 databases by ISPs.

10 17. The end result are evidence logs of infringing transactions and the IP addresses of  
11 the users responsible for copying and distributing the audiovisual work, here *Queen of the Desert*.  
12 The IP addresses, hash value, dates and times, ISP and geolocation contained in Exhibit B correctly  
13 reflect the subscribers using the IP addresses and that they were all part of a "swarm" of users that  
14 were reproducing, distributing, displaying or performing the copyrighted work.<sup>1</sup>

#### 15 VI. JOINDER

16 18. Each Defendant is alleged to have committed violations of 17 U.S.C. § 101 *et. seq.*  
17 within the same series of transactions or occurrences (e.g. downloading and distribution of the  
18 same copyrighted motion picture owned by Plaintiff) and by using the same means (BitTorrent  
19 network). The infringed work was included in one file related to the torrent file; in other words,  
20 all of the infringements alleged in this lawsuit arise from the exact same unique copy of Plaintiff's  
21 movie as evidenced by the cryptographic hash value. The Defendants are all part of the exact same  
22 "swarm." Defendants' acts occurred in the same series of transactions because each Defendant  
23 downloaded and/or distributed, or offered to distribute *Queen of the Desert* to other infringers on  
24 the network, including the Doe Defendants and/or other network users, who in turn downloaded

---

25  
26 <sup>1</sup> In logs kept in the ordinary course of business, ISPs keep track of the IP addresses assigned to their subscribers. Once provided with an IP address, plus the date and time of the detected and documented infringing activity, ISPs can use their subscriber logs to identify the subscriber with more specificity. Only the ISP to whom a particular IP address has been assigned for use by its subscribers can correlate that IP address to a particular subscriber. From time to time, a subscriber of Internet services may be assigned different IP addresses from their ISP. Thus, to correlate a subscriber with an IP address, the ISP also needs to know when the IP address was being used. Unfortunately, many ISPs only retain for a very limited amount of time the information necessary to correlate an IP address to a particular subscriber, making early discovery important.

1 and/or distributed the motion picture. The temporal proximity of the observed acts of each  
2 Defendant, together with the known propensity of BitTorrent participants to actively exchange  
3 files continuously for hours and even days, makes it possible that Defendants either directly  
4 exchanged the motion picture with each other, or did so through intermediaries and each shared in  
5 the distribution of the motion picture to others. Therefore, Defendants each conspired with other  
6 infringers on the BitTorrent network to copy and/or distribute *Queen of the Desert*, either in the  
7 same transaction or occurrence or a series of transactions or occurrences.

8 19. To use BitTorrent, a user intentionally downloads a program that they then install  
9 on their computer called a “client.” The BitTorrent client is the user’s interface during the  
10 downloading/uploading process. The client may be free, supported by advertising, offer upgrades  
11 or add on services for a fee, or a combination of several options. Users then intentionally visit a  
12 “torrent site” or network site to find media or content available for download, often using a  
13 standard web browser. A torrent site is often advertising revenue or subscription supported index  
14 of media or content being made available by other users on the network and maintains a listing of  
15 movies and television programs among other protected content. A user then uses the torrent site to  
16 connect with other users and exchange or “share” content though the BitTorrent protocol often  
17 with many users at the same time.

18 20. Internet piracy, and in particular BitTorrent piracy, though known as peer-to-peer  
19 file sharing, is often a for-profit business as many software clients, torrent sites and networks  
20 generate millions of dollars in revenue through sales and advertising. To increase the value of the  
21 advertising and sometimes subscription access sold by torrent sites, many torrent sites work to  
22 expand the pool of available titles and speed of downloads through increasing the number of  
23 member peers and thus the desirability of their clients and networks. To accomplish this, they  
24 reward participants who contribute by giving them faster download speeds, greater access, or other  
25 benefits.  
26

1           21. A significant element of the BitTorrent economic model is that those who  
2 participate and download movies not only share and upload movies with others, but participants  
3 are often rewarded through various means based on the volume and availability of content  
4 participants in turn provide the network. In sum, there is a feedback incentive for participants as  
5 they obtain not only the benefit of their pirated copy of a movie, but they obtain other benefits by  
6 increasing the availability of pirated content to others. As such there are a growing number of users  
7 that participate in peer-to-peer networks and receive personal gain or compensation in that the  
8 networks they use reward those who provide large numbers of files for upload to others.

9           22. The use of BitTorrent does more than cause harm through the theft of intellectual  
10 property. The BitTorrent distribution of pirated files is a model of business that profits from theft  
11 through sales and advertising and a system of rewards and compensation to the participants, each  
12 of whom contribute to and further the enterprise. Each Defendant is a participant in the BitTorrent  
13 distribution of pirated files and the substantially similar conduct of each Defendant furthered a  
14 model of business that profits from theft of intellectual property including Plaintiff's motion  
15 picture.

16           23. Accordingly, pursuant to Fed.R.Civ.P. 20(a)(2) each of the Defendants is therefore  
17 properly joined at least because: (a) the infringement complained of herein by each of the  
18 Defendants was part of a series of transactions involving an identical copy of Plaintiff's  
19 copyrighted work; (b) the conduct of each Defendant jointly and collectively supported and  
20 advanced an economic business model of profiting from the piracy of Plaintiff's copyrighted work;  
21 (c) there are common questions of law and fact; and (c) each Defendant knowingly and actively  
22 participated in a conspiracy to perform an illegal act and/or injure Plaintiff through use of the  
23 BitTorrent protocol to infringe Plaintiff's copyrighted work.

24           24. Permissive joinder in the instant case is to permit a more efficient management of  
25 Plaintiff's claims against the several Defendants and to reduce the costs to Plaintiff and Defendants  
26 and to reduce the costs and burdens on the Court. Notice is provided, that on being specifically



1 identified and on request from an identified Defendant, Plaintiff agrees to sever any Defendant  
2 that claims prejudice in being joined in this matter and to proceed against each such Defendant  
3 individually.

4 **VII. CAUSE OF ACTION—COPYRIGHT INFRINGEMENT**

5 25. Plaintiff realleges the substance of the prior paragraphs.

6 26. Plaintiff owns the exclusive rights to the commercially released motion picture  
7 *Queen of the Desert*, which has significant value and has been acquired, produced and created at  
8 considerable expense.

9 27. At all relevant times Plaintiff has been the holder of the pertinent exclusive rights  
10 infringed by Defendants to the copyrighted motion picture *Queen of the Desert*. The motion picture  
11 is the subject of a valid Certificate of Copyright Registration.

12 28. Plaintiff is informed and believes that each Defendant, without the permission or  
13 consent of Plaintiff, has used, and continues to use, an online media distribution system to  
14 wrongfully misappropriate, reproduce and distribute to the public, including by making available  
15 for distribution to others, *Queen of the Desert*. On information and belief, each Defendant  
16 participated in a swarm and/or reproduced and/or distributed the same seed file of *Queen of the*  
17 *Desert* in digital form either directly with each other. Plaintiff has identified each Defendant by  
18 the IP address assigned to that Defendant by his or her ISP and the date and at the time at which  
19 the infringing activity of each Defendant was observed.

20 29. In addition, or in the alternative, Defendants obtained Internet access through an  
21 ISP and permitted, facilitated and materially contributed to the extensive use of the Internet  
22 through his ISP for infringing Plaintiff's exclusive rights under The Copyright Act by others.  
23 Defendants, with knowledge of the infringing conduct, failed to reasonably secure, police and  
24 protect the use of his Internet service against use for improper purposes such as piracy, including  
25 the downloading and sharing of Plaintiff's motion picture by others. Defendants had the right and  
26 ability to supervise and control the activity constituting the infringement.

1 30. In doing so, each Defendant has directly, indirectly and/or contributorily violated  
2 Plaintiff's exclusive rights of at least reproduction, preparation derivative works and distribution.  
3 Each Defendant's actions constitute infringement of Plaintiff's exclusive rights protected under  
4 17 U.S.C. § 101 et seq.

5 31. *Queen of the Desert* contains a copyright notice advising the viewer that the motion  
6 picture is protected by the copyright laws. Each of the Defendants' actions with respect to  
7 copyright infringement and other acts described herein were made with full knowledge of  
8 Plaintiff's ownership of the copyrights in the motion picture.

9 32. The conduct of each Defendant is causing and, unless enjoined and restrained by  
10 this Court, will continue to cause the Plaintiff great and irreparable injury that cannot fully be  
11 compensated or measured in money. The Plaintiff has no adequate remedy at law. Pursuant to  
12 17 U.S.C. §§ 502 and 503, the Plaintiff is entitled to injunctive relief prohibiting each Defendant  
13 from further infringing the Plaintiff's copyright and ordering that each Defendant destroy all copies  
14 of the copyrighted motion picture made in violation of the Plaintiff's copyrights.

15 33. By reason of the foregoing acts, if such remedy is elected at trial, Plaintiff is entitled  
16 to statutory damages from Defendants pursuant to 17 USC §504, *et seq.* Alternatively, at Plaintiff's  
17 election, Plaintiff is entitled to its actual damages incurred as a result of Defendants' acts of  
18 infringement plus any profits of Defendants attributable to the infringements.

19 34. The foregoing acts of infringement have been willful, intentional, and in disregard  
20 of and with indifference to the rights of Plaintiff.

21 35. As a result of each Defendant's infringement of Plaintiff's exclusive rights under  
22 copyright, Plaintiff is entitled to its attorneys' fees and costs pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 505.

### 23 VIII. PRAYER FOR RELIEF

24 **WHEREFORE**, Plaintiff prays for judgment against each Defendant as follows:

25 A. Pursuant to 17 U.S.C. §502, an order preliminarily and permanently enjoining each  
26 Defendant from directly or indirectly infringing Plaintiff's rights in *Queen of the*

1 *Desert* and any motion picture, whether now in existence or later created, that is  
2 owned or controlled by Plaintiff (“Plaintiff’s motion pictures”), including without  
3 limitation by using the Internet to reproduce or copy, distribute or otherwise make  
4 available for distribution to the public Plaintiff’s motion pictures, except pursuant  
5 to a lawful license or with the express authority of Plaintiff.

- 6 B. Pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 503, an order that each Defendant destroy all copies of  
7 Plaintiff’s motion pictures that Defendant has downloaded onto any computer hard  
8 drive or server without Plaintiff’s authorization and shall destroy all copies of those  
9 motion pictures transferred onto any physical medium or device in each  
10 Defendant’s possession, custody, or control.
- 11 C. An order that each Defendant file with this Court and serve on Plaintiff, within  
12 30 days of service of this order, a report in writing under oath setting forth in detail  
13 the manner and form in which Defendants have complied with the terms of the  
14 ordered relief.
- 15 D. Pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 504 or other applicable provision, for actual or statutory  
16 damages, at the election of Plaintiff, and a finding of willful infringement.
- 17 E. Pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 505, for Plaintiff’s reasonable attorneys’ fees and costs.
- 18 F. For such other and further relief as the Court deems proper.

19 RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 11<sup>th</sup> day of March, 2016.

20 s/David A. Lowe, WSBA No. 24,453

21 [Lowe@LoweGrahamJones.com](mailto:Lowe@LoweGrahamJones.com)

22 LOWE GRAHAM JONES<sup>PLLC</sup>

23 701 Fifth Avenue, Suite 4800

24 Seattle, WA 98104

25 T: 206.381.3300

26 F: 206.381.3301

Attorneys for QOTD Film Investment Ltd.